

I am curious, what are they waiting for? Could it be because the Vice President has proposed over \$1 trillion in new government spending? I think it is. It seems the Vice President cares more about spending the surplus than saving it. Why else has he been silent on joining our efforts to eliminate the debt?

This Democrat administration spending spree will jeopardize the health of Social Security and Medicare, and that is just wrong. I tell the Vice President, come on, together let us eliminate the national debt. Social Security and Medicare depend on it.

WOMEN'S CAUCUS COORDINATED EFFORT ON PASSING VAWA

(Mrs. MALONEY of New York asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mrs. MALONEY of New York. Mr. Speaker, one of the top priorities of the bipartisan Women's Caucus is reauthorizing the Violence Against Women Act. The House has already passed it by a nearly unanimous vote, 415 to 3.

But while women are being beaten up and children continue to witness violence every day in their homes, the Senate and the conference committee have yet to act. It is time for action. We are calling, in a bipartisan way, on our colleagues in the House and the Senate on the conference committee. We know that this bill will save lives. We know that it helps our communities deal with domestic violence.

We know that passing VAWA is one way to stop the cycle of violence in America. We know that the prosecutors and law enforcement officers support it. How long must our children suffer the consequences of family violence. Every day that goes by without passing it is too long.

We call upon this House and Senate and conference committee to pass the Violence Against Women Act.

PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES DISAGREE ON TAXING ISSUES

(Mr. ARMEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, as a result of decades of social engineering, the United States Tax Code has evolved into a complex maze of deductions, credits, exemptions, and special preferences under which taxpayers with same incomes can pay vastly different amounts in taxes.

This uneven treatment of taxpayers is fundamentally unfair and it is at odds with the American value of equality under the law.

Unfortunately, Mr. Speaker, Vice President AL GORE's economic plan would make things even worse. Although the Vice President claims to provide middle class tax relief, he actually provides meager relief only to those individuals who agree to live the

government-approved AL GORE mandated life-style.

As a result, the Wall Street Journal reported yesterday "families earning identical amounts of money would pay widely different taxes and families earning more money than others could pay significantly lower taxes."

Those who choose the GORE life-style get a tax break. Those who choose to live their own lives get nothing. For example, if one purchases a costly electric car, the Vice President gives one a tax break. If one purchases a Ford pickup truck, one gets nothing. That is not my definition of fairness. That is not my definition of freedom.

Governor Bush, however, has a different approach. He believes that all Americans are overtaxed and worthy of some relief, even those who drive Ford pickup trucks. His evenhanded plan would provide relief to virtually every taxpayer. That, Mr. Speaker, is fair.

REAUTHORIZATION OF THE VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN ACT OF 1994

(Mrs. BIGGERT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mrs. BIGGERT. Mr. Speaker, October is National Domestic Violence Awareness Month, a time for us to reflect upon the damage done to American society by domestic violence.

Scratch the surface of any of our Nation's most challenging social problems, from crime in schools to gang violence and homelessness, and one is likely to find the root cause is domestic violence.

Law enforcement officials report that domestic violence calls are among their most frequent. Judges find that children first seen in their courts as victims of domestic violence return later as adult criminal defendants. Schools report that children with emotional problems often come from environments where violence is the norm.

What does this tell us? It tells us that violence begets violence, and it is incumbent on all of us to try and break the cycle. That is exactly what the Violence Against Women Act, VAWA, of 1994 has helped us to do over the last 6 years.

Let us get to the President's desk now the 5-year reauthorization of VAWA. It is a vital investment in this Nation's future.

PAYING OFF DEBT PRESERVES THE POLITICAL AND SPIRITUAL HERITAGE OF OUR GRAND- CHILDREN

(Mr. SCHAFFER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SCHAFFER. Mr. Speaker, nearly 40 years ago, President Dwight D. Eisenhower warned "we cannot mortgage the material assets of our grandchildren without risking the loss also

of their political and spiritual heritage."

"We want democracy to survive for all generations to come, not become the insolvent phantom for tomorrow."

This Congress has a chance to tear off a piece of that mortgage placed on our children and our grandchildren and all of our future generations by paying off America's debt. We can start this year. We can start by committing 90 percent of the surplus to paying off America's debt.

Democrats say it cannot be done, and they are wrong. Just a couple of years ago when we Republicans promised we would stop Bill Clinton's raid on Social Security, Democrats said that could not be done. But once again, they were wrong.

Paying off the debt should be our top priority. It frees up money currently spent on interest and allows us to pay for other top priorities such as prescription drug benefits, saving Social Security, and preserving the political and spiritual heritage of our grandchildren.

REPUBLICANS COMMITTED TO PAYING DOWN DEBT

(Mr. CHABOT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. CHABOT. Mr. Speaker, for far too long, government spending reigned supreme in Washington. Deficit spending ran rampant, the debt ballooned, and taxes skyrocketed. It was always spend first and worry about the debt later.

But today Republicans are changing course and saying that paying off the debt for our children's future should be at the front of the line, not at the end of the line.

Republicans are committed to paying off the national debt. We have already reduced the debt by about \$350 billion and are committed to eliminating the national debt altogether.

The Clinton-Gore administration vetoed relief on the marriage and death taxes. Remember? Republicans are not about to sit back and let the Democrats now spend that money.

As we finalize next year's budget, we are dedicated to three core principles. Let us pay down the debt. Let us make sure Social Security and Medicare are on sound financial ground for this generation of seniors and future generations. Let us give the American people substantial tax relief. They deserve it. That is what is right for the country.

REBELS IN SIERRA LEONE PROFIT FROM "BLOOD" DIAMONDS

(Mr. WOLF asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WOLF. Mr. Speaker, the Clinton administration has a miserable record on what is taking place in Sierra Leone. Moctar Jallah is a 27-year-old.

He is from Sierra Leone. This past year, Mactar had his right hand and his ear cut off by rebel thugs in Sierra Leone. The gentleman from Ohio (Mr. HALL) and I met Mactar at an amputee camp this past December.

At the amputee camp, Mactar introduced us to thousands of people who were lucky to be alive. The people we met were the survivors, those who did not bleed to death as they struggled to flee the rebels who had cut off their arms, their legs, and their ears.

No one was spared the brutal, grotesque, and evil actions of the rebels. Infant babies had their arms and legs cut off. Young men in the prime of their life suddenly had half a leg. Women were raped by rebels and then had their limbs amputated, only to give birth several months later as a result of the rape they suffered.

Why did the rebels of Sierra Leone do it? They did it because of diamonds. Diamonds to profit and control and trade in Sierra Leone. The trade in conflict for blood diamonds must stop.

The gentleman from Ohio (Mr. HALL) has a bill, the CARAT Act, H.R. 5147. Pass the bill, stop the flow of blood from conflict diamonds.

URGING DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE TO END NONSENSE AGAINST MICROSOFT

(Mr. RYAN of Wisconsin asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. RYAN of Wisconsin. Mr. Speaker, hopefully Tuesday, September 26, marked the turning point in the misguided antitrust suit against Microsoft when the Supreme Court turned down a Hail-Mary plea by the government to hear Microsoft's appeal.

Two new studies, one from the Institute of Policy Innovation and one from the Association for Competitive Technology calculate the annual economic damages caused to our economy would range between \$20 billion and \$75 billion a year.

I would like to quote Milton Friedman, the Nobel Laureate Economist who said, "Silicon Valley is suicidal in calling government in to mediate in the disputes among some of the big companies in the area and Microsoft. The end result will be that an industry that up to now has been able to proceed at a marvelous pace with little or no government regulation is now going to have government all over it. It is going to spend in legal fees over the next 10 or 20 years, money which society would benefit from much more if it were spent in the kind of research and development that has brought us many miracles in the area of Internet, in the area of home computers, industry computers, and all the rest."

The Berkshire Hathaway vice-chairman, Charles Munger, says "The Justice Department could hardly have come up with a more harmful set of demands than those it now makes. If it

wins, our country will end up hobbling its best-performing high-tech businesses."

I urge an end to this madness.

WELFARE REFORM SUCCESS

(Mr. STEARNS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. STEARNS. Mr. Speaker, hearing the Democrats say they reformed welfare is similar to saying all of us in this House won gold in the Olympics. Did we participate in the success at Sydney? No. But did this Nation benefit from the years of practice and experience of these gold medals? Yes.

When we were talking about reforming welfare, the Democrats said welfare reform would fail, and President Clinton vetoed this legislation twice.

□ 1030

Well, failure could not be further from the truth today. Taxpayers are better off than they were 4 years ago due to fiscal responsibility and reforms passed by the Republican Congress. Six years ago welfare checks in the Northeast totaled about \$47 million, and this year the costs are about \$12 million, nearly \$35 million in savings.

Republicans have helped restore incentive to work instead of dooming families to a life of continued dependencies. Our policy should be a hand up, not a hand out.

SOCIAL SECURITY

(Mr. SMITH of Michigan asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SMITH of Michigan. Mr. Speaker, I think a lot of Americans listened to the debate last night. A lot of us have been working on Social Security for a long time, certainly our Speaker pro tempore, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. SHAW), myself, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. STENHOLM), the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. KOLBE), and many others have been looking at ways to keep this most important program continuing to be solvent. A lot of people depend on it.

I was very upset last night with some of the comments on Social Security. The Vice President has got a plan that I think does not solve the huge problem of keeping Social Security solvent.

Let me just go through this chart briefly. The biggest risk is doing nothing at all. Social Security has a total unfunded liability of over \$20 trillion. The Social Security Trust Fund contains nothing but IOUs. That is what the Vice President is suggesting, that we add another giant IOU and somehow come up with the money. How are we going to come up with the money?

The last point. To keep paying program Social Security benefits, the payroll tax will have to be increased to at least 50 percent of total income; 50 percent of total income for our FICA taxes

or benefits will have to be cut by one-third.

We cannot continue to go on doing nothing. We have to make some program changes if we are going to keep this important program solvent.

APPOINTMENT OF CONFEREES ON H.R. 4942, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2001

Mr. ISTOOK. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the bill (H.R. 4942) making appropriations for the government of the District of Columbia and other activities chargeable in whole or in part against revenues of said District for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, and for other purposes, with a Senate amendment thereto, disagree to the Senate amendment, and agree to the conference asked by the Senate.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SHAW). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oklahoma?

There was no objection.

MOTION TO INSTRUCT OFFERED BY MR. MORAN OF VIRGINIA

Mr. MORAN of Virginia. Mr. Speaker, I offer a motion.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. MORAN of Virginia moves that the managers on the part of the House at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the Senate amendments to the bill H.R. 4942 be instructed to recede from disagreement with the amendment of the Senate.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Virginia (Mr. MORAN) will be recognized for 30 minutes and the gentleman from Oklahoma (Mr. ISTOOK) will be recognized for 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. MORAN).

Mr. MORAN of Virginia. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, the motion, as it was read, would instruct the conferees to accept the Senate version of the District of Columbia appropriations bill for fiscal year 2001. The reason is that the Senate bill is a superior bill.

The Senate bill is a bill that was supported by virtually all of the Republicans and Democrats in the Senate, will be supported by virtually all of the Democrats and I think a great many Republicans in the House. It is a bill that is supported by the Mayor of the District of Columbia and by the D.C. City Council, the properly elected officials to govern the district. And it is the only bill that the President will sign.

This bill provides \$34 million more in Federal funds to enable the District to undertake important economic development, environmental restoration and educational opportunity activities. It fully funds the Federal commitment to build the New York Avenue metro station; and, in fact, it represents only a third of the cost, given the fact that if